WD2239.B490,

1924-5

Previous References. ( )

File No. 290-24

Serial Nos. 1

Later references.

Previous

30

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 1925

SUBJECT

Treatment of decayed Stones of ancient monuments
in the Temple of Nalanda and Report
on the publication thereof in the Bengal Session
of June 1925 by Mr R. Sivaramamurti, Curator.

FORM II. WD 1909—GPE—500—12-4-24

Memorandum of Interpellations proposed for reply in the ... Session of the Representative Assembly of the ... District.

For the ... Session of ... 192 .

Subject	Interpellation.
[illegible]	The
No. 1(1,	
Name of Member [illegible], M.P.	

Reference:- The question as to what kind of [illegible] to be [illegible] ors to be still under [illegible] tion. ([illegible] 155-23-12 dated 10th October 1924

REV. REGISTRY 5-MAY 1925 Nairobi

D. 1726

Mary. 2 90-6-1-,

I agree

7/5

Copies sent RA 11.5.25. 105/65

is under the Provincial Admin

Ed 7/5

for member

5.5.25

S.M.S

Budget Session of June 1925.

Subject — Interpellation by Mr. R. Durappa, Belur.

No. 1. Treatment of decayed stones of ancient monuments in the Temple of Halebid and Belur.

Will the Government be pleased to state (1) whether the method adopted to prevent the deterioration of stones of ancient monuments in the temples of Halebid and Belur have been successful and if the tried methods are ineffective will they indent on the services of experts (Indian or foreign) to prevent further decay before it is too late.

Reference:- The question as to what kind of preservatives have to be used seems to be still under investigation. Vide page 52 G.O. No. 511-7/Muz.135-24-13- dated Bangalore 13th October 1924).

Reply.. No methods have yet been adopted, but the matter is under the consideration of Government.

THE MYSORE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Budget Session.

JUNE 1925

INTERPELLATIONS.

By Mr. Mahommed Abbas Khan (Bangalore City)

Q—**1.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(1) The number of vacancies of Prosecuting Inspectors recently advertised to be filled up?

(2) The number of Prosecuting Inspectors actually appointed and the communities to which they belong?

(3) The number of applicants, who applied for the vacancies and the number of those who belonged to the backward communities?

(4) Were not all the applicants of the backward communities Law graduates?

(5) Is it not a fact that 3 of the applicants were Mohamedan graduates?

(6) Why were the claims of candidates of the backward communities overlooked, though they were better qualified?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

A.- (1) Two

(2) 3 Brahmins of whom one is a Hale Karnataka

(3) 23, of whom 5 were Non-Brahmins.

(4) The answer is in the affirmative

(5) The answer is in the affirmative

(6) The selection of candidates was made by the Inspector General of Police after considering not only their academical qualifications but also their physique, aptitude for Police service, and ability to read Police records and case diaries in Kanarese. They were also subjected to a *viva voce* examination to test their sharpness in comprehending facts and giving prompt and sensible replies.

By Mr. P. Murugesam Pillai (Bangalore City)

Q.—**2.** Will the Government be pleased to give information on the following —

(*a*) the number of darkhast applications received from Panchama raiyats in each district for the year 1924-25

(*b*) the number of such applications disposed of during the same period.

(*c*) Has the recommendation of the Revenue Commissioner (C. 1683-23-24 dated 8th January 1924) and the Government Order No R 4850-8—L R 261-22 41, dated 29th March 1924 thereon been circulated among taluk officers?

(*d*) Are the Government aware that some of the taluk officers are ignorant of the above order and treat such applications with scant courtesy?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT

A.—(2)

	Name of district	No of applications received till end of April 1925	Number disposed of
(*a*) & (*b*)	Bangalore	58	16
	Kolar ...	74	74
	Mysore	122	97
	Shimoga	14	12
	Hassan . .	17	14
	Kadur	19	11
	Chitaldrug	98	97
	Tumkur ...	297	65

(*c*) The answer is in the affirmative

(*d*) The answer is in the negative

(*h*) The works are being carried on at a loss.

(i) and (ii) The amount of loss will depend on the market rate and the exchange

(*i*) (i) Rs 4,26,599

(ii) No.

(iii) It is found to be unsuitable for billetting the species of wood available.

(iv) and (v) Its disposal is under consideration

(*j*) (i) The Board of Management. All appointments carrying a salary of Rs. 500 and over require the previous sanction of Government

(ii) The statement referred to in (c) above gives the information as far as possible.

Mr B L Byanna (Bangalore City)

Q.—**6.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

1. How many Vakkaligar, Lingayet and Mohamedan students took their B.A. Degree from the year 1914 to 1924 in each district of the State (to be shown yearly).

2 How many of the Vakkaligars, Lingayets and Mohamedan graduates in each district of the State applied for Government service under these heads.

(*a*) Salary below Rs. 100.
(*b*) Salary above Rs. 100 but below Rs 250
(*c*) Salary above Rs. 250

3. And how many were entertained amongst them in each district of the State.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—6. (1) A statement is placed on the Table showing the number that passed the B A Examination from the year 1918, in which year the examination was held for the first time in the Mysore University. The information is not available by districts

(2) and (3) The required information is not, for obvious reasons, forthcoming and cannot therefore be supplied

Mr K. Ramaiah (Bangalore City)

Q.—**7.** Will the Government be pleased to state —What is the total number of males, females, boys and girls in the 4 classes of Vaddar Community, *viz.*, (1) Kallu Vaddar, (2) Mannu Vaddar, (3) Sweeping Vaddar, (4) Ploughing Vaddar.

2. What is the number of boys amongst them attending schools and the number that were given scholarships ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT —

A.—7. (1) The population of the Woddar Community in the State according to the Census of 1921 is given below.—

(*a*) Males	....	77,763
(*b*) Females	....	73,583
(*c*) Boys { up to 15 years of age	...	29,981
(*d*) Girls {	...	30,167

Statistics as regards the population of each of the sub-sections of the community are not available.

2. Information as to the number of boys attending school is not available, since for statistical purposes, the pupils of Woddar Community are included among high caste Hindus Sixty eight boys were given scholarships during 1924-25.

Mr. Siddegowda *alias* **Appajigowda** (Channapatna Taluk)

Q.—**8.** Will the Government be pleased to state :—

1. What is the amount spent for getting Patta Books printed ?
2. What is the number of copies sold every year and the total value realised thereby ?
3. Whether it is not possible to give the books free to raiyats ?

REVENUE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—8. (1) and (2) Information is not available.
(3) The answer is in the negative.

Mr Karnik Krishnamurthy Rao (Magadi Taluk)

Q.—**9.** With reference to the reply of Government to part of Interpellation No I of the Dasara Session of 1924 will the Government be pleased to furnish additional information on the following points —

(*a*) How many qualified persons under the head "Non-Brahmins" applied for appointments ? How many of them were appointed ? How many persons were given exemptions from possessing the qualification ?

(*b*) What was the number of Brahmin candidates applying for posts and the number appointed ?

(*c*) What is the reason for excluding Europeans and Anglo-Indians in Appendix II ?

(*d*) Were there no Europeans and Anglo-Indians in the Government Service before the Introduction of the Miller Committee Report ? What is the total number of persons belonging to these communities who are in the Gazetted and the non-gazetted ranks ?

(*e*) If the answer to part of Interpellation No I which was not answered at the Dasara Session 1924 is ready, will the Government kindly place it on the Table ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT —

A.—9. (*a*) Since June 1921 to 15th April 1925, 8,062 applicants of the Backward Communities applied for the several vacancies advertised. Of these applicants, 1,213 were appointed. Only 3 persons were given exemption from possessing the required qualifications.

(*b*) During the same period, the number of Brahmin applicants was 16 269. Of these, 929 were appointed.

(*c*) Reference is invited to the reply given to the supplementary question by the member to Interpellation No. I at the Dasara Session of 1924.

(*d*) According to the statement appended to the Miller Committee Report, the number of Europeans and Anglo-Indians in the service of Government in the year 1918 was 156 Information is not available as regards the present number as the composition statement received quarterly by the Recruitment Board provides no separate heading for this community.

(*e*) A statement showing the total number of each community comprising the non-gazetted ranks is placed on the Table.

Mr B. K. Ramakrishnaiah (Mysore City Municipality)

Q.—**10.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(i) Whether in pursuance of directions given by Government to the Traffic Manager in their review of the Administration Report of the Railway Department for 1921-22 for effecting economy, the supply of uniforms to the Traffic Staff during 1923-24 was stopped in order to effect savings ?

(ii) What was the amount saved thereby ?

(iii) What was the amount spent during the same year in fitting electric lights and fans to the Traffic Manager's Inspection carriage and supplying crockery and mosquito curtains to his carriage and to the Loco Superintendent's Inspection Carriage ?

(iv) Whether it was not the present Traffic Manager who sanctioned this expenditure when he was officiating as Agent, Mysore Railways?

2. (i) Whether it is a fact that the Office of the Assistant Traffic Superintendent, Bangalore, which was located in Government Building was shifted to a private building on a rent of Rs. 100 per month?

(ii) Whether it is a fact that the Traffic Manager and the Loco Superintendent put up in the new Office of the Assistant Traffic Superintendent when they go to Bangalore and whether the Loco Superintendent stables his car there permanently?

(iii) Whether these officers are not provided with inspection carriage which are meant for the officers to live in out stations?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, RAILWAYS.—

A.—10. 1 (i) The supply for 1922-23 was completed in March 1923 and the supply for the next official year which was due in March 1924 was postponed by a few months to next official year, *viz.*, 1924-25.

(ii) No provision was made for the supply of clothing in the Budget for the official year 1923-24.

(iii) The carriages in question have been electrified as a part of the general scheme of electrification to avoid payment of gas charges to Madras and Southern Mahratta Railway. The total amount spent during 1923-24 on the electrification of stock on Mysore Railways excluding adjustments is Rs. 10,888-9-2 of which Rs. 2,190 relates to the inspection carriage allotted to the Traffic Manager. The cost of crockery supplied during the same year to the Loco Superintendent and Traffic Manager's inspection carriages is Rs. 569-9-0. On all Railways in India, crockery is supplied to high officials of the Railway.

(iv) The answer is in the negative.

2 (i) The Office of the Assistant Traffic Superintendent, Bangalore, was located in the New Public Offices at a considerable distance from the City Station and the business part of the town. It was therefore shifted to a rented building at Rs. 75 per mensem in April 1923. As this building was in the market for sale and also very inconvenient, the Office was again shifted to the present building in April 1924 which is a commodious one and close to the City Railway Station on a monthly rent of Rs. 100 to be used both as an Office and as a Rest House for Railway Officers.

M. & S. M. Railway have two furnished Rest Houses at Bangalore, one for the use of their Agent only and the other for the rest of the officers visiting Bangalore on duty.

(ii) Government have no information on the point.

(iii) The answer is in the affirmative except where Rest Houses are specially provided.

Messrs. S. Thimme Gowda and K. Linge Gowda (Krishnarajpete Taluk).

Q.—**11.** Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(1) How many cases of village extensions have been dealt with in the State since 1915?

(2) What is the amount deposited every year for this purpose by the raiyats?

(3) How many cases have been disposed of by grant of facilities to the villagers.

(4) How many are pending and what is the reason for the delay?

(5) Are the Government aware that the raiyats are incurring much loss owing to the increase in the prices of lands?

(6) Who are responsible for the delay?

(7) Whether it is proposed to take action to avoid the delay?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

A.—11. The statistics required by the members are not readily available and cannot be easily collected. As regards the delay and other inconveniences mentioned, it may be pointed out that the procedure regarding acquisitions has been systematised in Government Order No. R. 632-92—L. R. 56-22-1, dated the 25th

July 1922. The land to be acquired and the funds required to pay for it have first to be decided by the Village Panchayet or the Taluk Board as the case may be and thereafter by the District Board who have to send up proposals to the Deputy Commissioner. They will have then to come up to Government who scrutinise and notify the same. Government are aware that considerable time often elapses before proceedings are completed, but the object of the present procedure is to safeguard the interests and the rights of private individuals, and instances of undue delay, if brought to notice, will be duly enquired into.

Mr. G. Devoji Rao (Seringapatam Municipality), **Messrs. S. C. Malliah and M. C Lingegowda** (Mandya Taluk).

Q.—**12.** Will the Government be pleased to give information on the following:—

(1) The total number of centres into which the scheme of Elementary education has been introduced ever since its inception?

(2) What is the total number of boys in the State who came under the operation of the Regulation during the years 1921-22, 1922-23 and 1923-24?

(3) What is the total number of boys of compulsory age actually receiving instruction?

(4) How many Attendance Officers have been nominated and how many elected by the several committees?

(5) How many non-official gentlemen have been nominated as Attendance Officers?

(6) What is the percentage of boys receiving instruction to the total number of boys of school-going age in Taluk Centres where the non-official gentlemen were Attendance Officers and what is the percentage in places where the Head Masters were the Attendance Officers during the preceding three years?

(7) How many prosecutions were instituted throughout the State during those three years?

(8) Is the Government aware that there is a lull in the activities of the Attendance Officers in compelling boys to attend schools and that the Regulation is not being worked satisfactorily?

(9) Is it under the contemplation of Government to revive the activities in connection with the scheme and infuse spirit into non-official public by nominating active and enthusiastic gentlemen as Attendance Officers in preference to the Head Masters?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—12. (1) 238.

(2) The information is not available.

(3) 32,938 (on the 30th June 1924).

(4) The Attendance Officers of all committees are nominated and the rules do not provide for the election of such officers.

(5) One.

(6) The comparative statistics required have not been collected.

(7)

1921-22	....	...	72
1922-23	....	...	44
1923-24	....	....	30
	Total	....	146

(8) Yes.

(9) The working of the Compulsory Education Scheme is being examined and necessary measures will be adopted for improving the same on receipt of the report called for from the Inspector-General of Education. The suggestion of the members will be duly considered in passing orders.

Messrs. M. Subba Rao and Dase Gowda (Heggaddevankote Taluk).

Q.—**13.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) When did the Government direct that a part of the income from the Sandal trees growing on private holdings should be given to the holder of the land?

(2) What percentage of the income has been sanctioned to be paid?

(3) Since that period up to the end of June 1924 what is the amount paid for each official year, for each district and for each taluk of the Mysore District? What is the value still to be paid to the landholders in the above areas?

(4) (*a*) What is the rank of the Forest Officers who are authorised to take sandal trees from private holdings?

(*b*) Have the Forest Officers made notes in their diaries about their inspection of lands for this purpose?

(*c*) Have the Forest officials given any receipt in token of their having taken away the sandal trees?

(5) Who is the officer who pays the amount to the holder of the land?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL —

A.—13 (1) The payment of bonus for sandal trees removed from private holdings, was sanctioned by Government in their Order No 7709 Ft 13-05-18, dated 25th March 1909

(2) The bonus to be paid is calculated on the girth of the tree at the time of its removal The scale fixing the rates is placed on the Table for information (Appendix 4)

(3) The total amount of bonus paid from the date of the Government Order to the end of June 1923 is Rs 6,152 Information is not readily available regarding the amount for each district and taluk

(4) (*a*) Forest Range Officers

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes.

(5) Forest Range Officer

Mr Y Srinivasa Iyengar (Yelandur Jahgir)

Q—**14.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(*a*) What is the amount of income realised by the temple of Biligiri Rangaswami under each head, since it came under the management of the Jahgirdar up to the end of February 1925?

(*b*) During this period, how much of the amount has been spent and for what purposes?

(*c*) What is the unspent balance at the end of February 1925?

(*d*) Is this amount kept in deposit or is it invested anywhere so as to fetch interest?

(*e*) If the balance is in deposit, where is it so kept?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL -

A.—14. (*a*) and (*b*) The institution has long been under the management of the Jahgir. Information is not available regarding the income and expenditure each year since the institution came under such management

(*c*) The information required is not yet available At the end of June 1924 there was a balance of Rs 8,023-15-4

(*d*) and (*e*) The amount is kept in the Yelandur Taluk Treasury by the Jahgirdar.

Messrs M C Lingegowda and S C Malliah (Mandya Taluk)

Q.—**15** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(*a*) How many Munsiffs have been appointed by the Government for the last five years?

(*b*) What is the number of men selected from each community?

(*c*) What was the principle adopted in making these appointments?

(*d*) Has any regard been paid to the orders passed in the Miller Committee report, when the appointments were made?

(*e*) If not, what is the reason?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

A—15. (*a*) to (*e*) Information has been called for and will be furnished on receipt of the same.

Mr G Devoji Rao (Seringapatam)

Q.—**16.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(1) What is the amount of the total loss incurred by the Government and by the public on account of the recent fire to the Railway Goods-shed, Mysore ?

(2) What is the value of the goods consigned to the Railway and covered by Risk Notes ?

(3) Has any compensation been paid to the merchants and if not, does the Government propose to compensate them and to what extent ?

(4) Has any committee been appointed by the Government to enquire into the causes of the fire whether due to accident or to wanton mischief and fixed the responsibility on any particular individual ?

(5) Is the Government aware that there is an embezzlement of public money by the goods clerk and whether the fire to the goods-shed can in any way be connected with an inspection regarding the embezzlement which had been fixed for the next day ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, RAILWAYS.—

A.—16. (1) Rs. 50,000. The original cost of the building was Rs. 10,000 and the value of the goods destroyed or damaged is about Rs. 40,000.

(2) Information not available.

(3) Under examination with the Officers of Government.

(4) The investigation at the Joint Enquiry by the Officers of Government including the City Magistrate and Assistant Superintendent, Railway Police, did not disclose that the fire was due to wanton mischief

(5) The Government have no knowledge of the alleged or attempted embezzlement by the goods clerk.

Messrs Hoskoppa Krishna Rao, Jammatige Shama Jois and Honniah Heggade (Koppa Taluk)

Q.—**17.** Will the Government be pleased to furnish the following information :—

(*a*) the amount of grants gazetted from time to time in the months of July August and September 1924 on account of Flood Relief Operations ,

(*b*) the amount actually spent out of those grants in those months for the relief of actual sufferers due to flood

(*c*) the date or dates on which the distribution of relief actually took place ,

(*d*) whether it was before or after the collection of subscriptions from the public, that the distribution of relief was ordered to be made ;

(*e*) what is the total amount of subscription raised from the public ,

(*f*) the amount spent out of the above and the balance if any, on that account ;

(*g*) the actual remissions made in the assessment of lands damaged ,

(*h*) the amount of remissions (districtwar) recommended by the Local Officers and the amount finally sanctioned by Government ,

(*i*) the extent, both in area and amount, of damages to lands in the flooded area ;

(*j*) the difference in the extent of damages between the lists prepared by the special Sheikdars in the first instance and verified by the local officers, and those finally submitted to Government ;

(*k*) the amount finally recommended for remission by the officers who conducted Huzur Jamabandi in the respective taluks ;

(*l*) the actual variation between the probable amount of remissions as per lists of special Sheikdars and that recommended by the Huzur Jamabandi Officers ,

(*m*) were any non-officials associated with the preparation of list of damages and were they consulted before making final recommendations for the remission of assessment.

(*n*) The number of applications received from raiyats for Takavi Loans for repairing the damaged lands and building houses and the number rejected

(*o*) The number of applications received from tenants and other petty traders of Koppa Taluk for the grant of Rs 50 on personal security. Were those applicants granted the loan asked for, if not, why not? Was any representation made to Government in this behalf against the rejection of those applications in contravention of the terms of the Government Orders dated the 13th August 1924, and if so, when? Did the Government make enquiries into the failure of the local officers to grant those loans as promised to the Malnad Deputation that waited upon the Dewan in October last,

(*p*) was any application received from one Cuttlegiri Manjappa in Koppa Taluk, whose areca garden valued at Rs 2,000 was buried under the debris due to tremendous land-slips, praying for suitable reliefs. Was the spot visited by the local officers, if so, when and how was this case disposed of?

REVENUE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

1924.

A.—17. (*a*)	July	August	September
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	42,000	85,000	44,000

(*b*) This information is not yet available.
(*c*) This information is not yet available.
(*d*) Grant of relief was ordered before the collection of public subscriptions.
(*e*) Rs. 1,06,800-1-3.
(*f*) Amount spent Rs 60,241-5-6 and balance Rs 46,558-11-9.
(*g*) Rs. 47,499-8-6.
(*h*) Mysore Rs. 28,373 5 10.
Hassan Rs. 3,453 5 8.
Shimoga Rs. 8,424 12 3.
Kadur Rs. 7,248 0 9.
The remissions were sanctioned by the Huzur Jamabandy Officers
(*i*) *Vide* reply to Interpellation No 30 *infra*.
(*j*) (*k*) (*l*) In view of the reply to (*h*) above, these questions do not arise.
(*m*) The answer is in the negative.
(*n*) (*a*) 1,620
(*b*) 180.
(*o*) Five applications were received, of which one was granted. The other four were rejected as the applicants could not offer any security.
Yes. In October 1924.
Yes.
(*p*) Yes.
Yes; by the Amildar on the 25th October 1924.
Permanent remission of assessment has been granted.

Messrs Hoskoppa Krishna Rao, Jammatige Shama Jois, Honniah Heggade (Koppa Taluk).

Q.—**18.** Will the Government be pleased to state the period at which the salaries of the officers of the State, both highly paid and low paid were fixed with information as to the living charges that prevailed at that time, and the percentage of comparative increase or decrease in the living charges obtaining at present in the case of officers of both these classes.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—18. The existing scales of salaries were fixed at different times and the cost of living is only one of the several factors governing the fixing of salaries. Information in regard to the comparative cost of living at different times is not available and cannot therefore be presented to the members.

Messrs. Hoskoppa Krishna Rao, Jammatige Shama Jois, Honniah Heggade (Koppa Taluk).

Q.—**19.** Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a statement of Government servants under the following heads:—

(*a*) Those who receive more than Rs. 1,000 per mensem;
(*b*) Those who receive above Rs. 500 per mensem;
(*c*) Those who receive above Rs. 100 per mensem;
(*d*) Those who receive below Rs. 100 per mensem;
(*e*) Peons and other servants with the total number and the total salary under each heading in the years 1912-13, 1921-22 and 1923-24.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—19. The particulars required are available in the published Budgets for the years mentioned. The members are referred to them.

Messrs. Hoskoppa Krishna Rao, Jammatige Shama Jois, Honniah Heggade (Koppa Taluk).

Q.—**20.** Will the Government be pleased to state what steps they have taken (or intend to take) to give effect to the resolution passed unanimously in the October Session of the Representative Assembly urging the introduction of Permanent Settlement in the State.

REVENUE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

A.—20. Government are not prepared to give effect to the Resolution. The difficulties attending permanent settlement were already explained to the Assembly at the time of the discussion of the Resolution.

Messrs. Hoskoppa Krishna Rao, Jammatige Shama Jois, Honniah Heggade (Koppa Taluk).

Q.—**21.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The number, the nature and the extent of defalcations that have occurred in the Electric Department in Bangalore, Sivasamudram and elsewhere, if any, within the past ten years and the total loss involved thereby together with the number of persons concerned, officers and subordinates including?

(*b*) What action was taken from time to time by Government to recover the amount and recover the losses?

(*c*) How many officers and men concerned were prosecuted in the Courts of law or otherwise dealt with departmentally as a result of these transactions?

(*d*) Was any committee appointed to investigate these periodical occurrences, and if so, will their report and recommendations, if any, be placed on the table of this House?

(*e*) Was the Committee's recommendation fully given effect to, and if not, why not; and were all the persons involved placed before the Court, and if not, what were the extenuating circumstances that stood in the way of their prosecution?

(*f*) Was the contractor Allaudin fully paid as per his bills submitted and what stood in the way of his being placed before the Court? How long was he connected with the department of Electricity?

(*g*) Who audited the accounts for the past ten years, and will the Auditor's reports of those shady transactions be placed on the table?

(*h*) Were the accounts audited by the officers of the Comptroller's Department, and if not, were they found wanting or disqualified to handle those accounts, and how many audits were arranged and by whom, and will these reports be made available for the information of the members of this House?

(*i*) Were not the officers of the Comptroller's Department competent to handle the accounts, and what was the necessity of engaging an Incorporated Accountant and what were the emoluments of the Accountant, and for how many days or stipulated period was he engaged?

(*j*) When was the defalcation in connection with the sixth installation brought to notice, and by whom, and what safeguards have been adopted to prevent similar repetition?

(*k*) Who are the immediate superiors of the Chief Operator and what is the nature and extent of their responsibility?

(*l*) Were the installation works inspected at any time and if so, how often, by the Member of the Executive Council in charge of the Electric port-folio between the years 1920, and 19-4, and was he at the time of inspection able to trace any over-measurements and over-payments, and will the Member's inspection notes of any two periods in the year 1922 be made available for the information of this House?

(*m*) Will the report of the Incorporated Accountant together with the recommendations, if any, be placed on the table of this House?

(*n*) Now that the prosecution has failed against the Chief Operator, will he be reinstated in his place, if he is not already recalled for duty?

(*o*) Were the Law Officers of the Government consulted before launching the prosecution against two of the four said to be involved in the defalcation and will such opinion or opinions, if there be more than one Law Officer, be placed on the table of this House?

(*p*) Have the Government perused the judgment of the learned District Judge in the case, and what further action does the Government intend to take with regard to the officer whose testimony is considered to be of a prevaricating nature, and will any disciplinary action be taken as regards him, in the interests of the morality and discipline of public service?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—21. The members' attention is drawn to the replies given to these questions at the last meeting of the Legislative Council.

Mr. Basettiappa (Channagiri Taluk).

Q.—**22.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the irrigable extent of land under the Sulekere Tank in the Channagiri Taluk?

(*b*) Whether the capacity of the tank is sufficient to irrigate the above extent, and if not, whether it is under consideration to increase the capacity?

(*c*) What is the annual income from the acreage contribution under the tank?

(*d*) What is the amount spent till now on the repairs to the channels under the tank?

(*e*) Has any attention been paid to the grievances of the raiyats under the tank in respect of water supply.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—22. (*a*) 4,416 acres.

(*b*) The capacity of the tank is 11,994 units and is more than sufficient to irrigate the atchkat.

(*c*) About Rs. 1,855 per annum from 1921-22 to 1923-24.

(*d*) About Rs. 23,969-4-4 since 1914-15 to 1923-24.

(*e*) Government are not aware of any complaints in this respect.

Messrs. C. Subba Rao and A. Ananthaiya (Shimoga), **Mr. Siddabasappa** (Shikarpur Taluk).

Q.—**23.** Will the Government be pleased to give the following information:—

(*a*) The number of minor tanks (talukwar) in the State that have been repaired or restored after the scheme was transferred to the Department of Public Works.

(*b*) The number of tanks that breached after the change.

(*c*) The number of tanks that were repaired or restored when the scheme was worked by the Revenue Department.

(*d*) The improvement shown by the change of control in the working of the scheme.

(*e*) The grant made by Government for the purpose during the years 1921-22, 1922-23 and 1923-24.

(*f*) The talukwar expenditure during the aforesaid years.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—23. Information has been called for and will be furnished to the members on receipt.

Mr Veerabhadrappa (Nagar Taluk)

Q.—**24.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(*a*) What is the number of houses destroyed by accidental fire in the malnad taluks during the years 1922-23 and 1923-24 ?

(*b*) What is the extent of loss and what are the main sources of the loss ?

REVENUE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT —

A.—24 A statement giving the information is given below —

The number of houses destroyed by accidental fire during		Extent of loss		Main sources of loss
1922 23	1923-24	1922 23	1923 24	
568	884	Rs a p 92,205 8 0	Rs a p 1,40,679 4 0	Houses, clothes and other household property and a few cattle

Mr Veerabhadrappa (Nagar Taluk)

Q. **25.** Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What is the number of villages in the malnad taluks wherein the Forest Department levy a grazing fee of 8 annas and 2 annas per head of she-buffalo and 4 annas and one anna per head of cow ?

(*b*) What is the number of villages in which permanent lumpsum grazing fees for the village as a whole is levied ?

(*c*) What is the number of heads of cattle and the total extent of gomal lands in the villages referred to in (1) and (2) ?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

A.—25. (*a*) The question is not clear. 8 annas per buffalo and 4 annas per cow are the usual rates of grazing fees levied in all State Forests In 30 villages of Shikarpur and Sorab Taluks, a concession rate of 2 annas per buffalo and 1 anna per cow is levied. Concession rates varying from free grazing to a nominal rate of 6 pies per head have been given in some villages of Kadur District also.

(*b*) 55 villages.

(*c*) Full information under this head is not available

Mr Dummi Panchaksharappa (Shimoga Urban Constituency) **and Mr. Puttamallappa** (Shikarpur Taluk)

Q.—**26.** Will the Government be pleased to give the following information —

(*a*) The action taken till now as regards the Anjanapur Project in the Shikarpur Taluk.

(*b*) Whether the project has been sanctioned by Government, and if not, when it will be sanctioned ?

(*c*) Whether the banjar lands coming under the project have been ordered to be disposed of under Darkhast Rules ?

(*d*) Whether any provision is made in the Budget of 1925-26 for the said project ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—26. (*a*) A project amounting to Rs. 6,50,000 has been prepared. Investigation as to whether rocky foundation is available for the masonry weir at the banks has been taken up. The project will have to be revised after depth at which rock is met with is ascertained. The project is likely to be ready within a couple of months.

(*b*) The project will be considered after the investigation referred to in (*a*) is completed.

(*c*) This will be considered in due course.

(*d*) No provision has been made pending orders on the project.

Messrs. B. Gopala Char and T. Ramanna (Tirthahalli Taluk).

Q.—**27.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether the supply of medicines to the several dispensaries is sufficient?

(*b*) Whether any complaints about short supply have been received from the dispensaries and how they were met?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

A.—27. (*a*) The supply is fairly sufficient except in cases in which the annual indent is just due.

(*b*) Extra indents were received chiefly from dispensaries in the flooded areas in the Malnad and in the Mysore District and they were all complied with.

Mr. R. Surappa (Belur).

Q.—**28.** Will the Government be pleased to state (1) whether the method adopted to prevent the deterioration of stones of ancient monuments in the temples of Halebid and Belur have been successful and if the tried methods are ineffective, will they indent on the services of experts (Indian or Foreign) to prevent further decay before it is too late?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—28. No methods have yet been adopted, but the matter is under the consideration of Government.

Mr. R. Surappa (Belur).

Q.—**29.** Will the Government be pleased to state whether the system of itinerant Special Magistrates introduced as an experimental measure to Shimoga has proved useful and convenient?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

A.—29. The scheme having been in force for only 5 months, Government are not in a position to give a definite answer to the question. They have, however, reason to think that it has proved beneficial to the public and is appreciated by the people of the taluk.

Messrs. A. V. Suryanarayana Setty and Naganna (Arkalgud).

Q.—**30.** Will the Government be pleased to supply information regarding the extent of damage done during the floods of July 1924 and the extent of help rendered by Government (a detailed statement may kindly be furnished).

REVENUE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT —

A.—30 The extent of damages caused by the floods in July 1924 is given in the statement placed on the Table The nature and extent of help rendered by Government is noted below —

	Nature of relief	Amount sanctioned		
		Rs	a.	p.
1	Gratuitous relief	22,000	0	0
2	Supply of seed paddy, etc , at cost price in the flood affected areas	15,000	0	0
3.	Supply of shed materials such as bamboos, kaves, etc for re building their houses free to the poor and at cost price to others	10 000	0	0
4	Advances for the acquisition of sites for laying out new extensions and for forming new villages and also sinking wells therein at the cost of Government	1,33,700	0	0
5	Advances to House building Co operative Societies formed in the affected towns with a view to facilitate the construction of houses in the extensions	1,25 000	0	0
6	Grant of House-building advances to the officials whose houses were destroyed by the floods, to re build their houses	10,000	0	0
7	Grant of remissions of assessment in the case of lands not cultivated or on which the yield was less than 4 annas	47,449	8	6
8	Grant of Takavi and Land Improvement loans to persons who suffered from the floods at $3\frac{1}{8}$ per cent rate of interest - Amounts of Rs 50 and below being free of interest	1,92,000	0	0

(Amount remitted)

Messrs. K. Ramanna, Giddegowda and Venkatashamaiya (Hole-Narsipur)

Q.—**31.** Will the Government be pleased to state whether any survey has been made for extending the South Channel of the Sriramadevaru Dam, Hole-Narsipur Taluk, if so, how far has the survey been made, is there any information as to the extent that will be brought under wet cultivation and how many villages will be benefited thereby, have any mutchalikas been obtained from the raiyats regarding recovery of contribution, has any beginning been made for putting the work on hand ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, K. R. S. WORKS.—

A.—31. No surveys for the extension of the South Channel have been undertaken or will be undertaken for the following reasons —

(1) The supply in the river Hemavathi falls short of the requirements of existing irrigation in the months of October, November and December of bad years under the north and south channels of Sriramadevaru Dam and the Mandagere and the Hemagiri channels as extended. The river supplies for anicut irrigation have thus been fully exploited and there is therefore no water available in all bad years for further extensions.

(2) Even if water were available (which however it is not), according to the Agreement with Madras, no extensions are permissible under the existing channels except to the extent of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the atchakat as it existed in 1910 and even such extensions have to be undertaken not by drawing off more water from the river, but by improvement of duties, *i e.*, by economising the present supplies and utilising any surplus saved in this manner for extensions.

Government have ordered (in G O. No. 411-21—K. R. S 2565-75, dated 13th May 1924) investigation of possible extensions by economising existing supplies. Any feasible extensions under such conditions will be considered when the result of investigations are before Government.

Messrs. Marulasiddappa and Rudrappa (Arsikere).

Q.—**32.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

What is the number of foreign scholarships granted each year from 1910 to 1924 and for how many years in each case ?

(2) What are the names of such persons and what are the communities to which they belong ?

(3 What is the number of applicants that applied for such scholarships during these years ?

(4) Have any Lingayets (Veerasaivas) or persons of other communities applied for such scholarships since 1910 and were any granted, and if so, what are their names ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT —

A —32 (1) and (2) A statement furnishing the information is placed on the table

(3) The total number of applications received cannot be stated

(4) A few Lingayets applied for but none has been granted a foreign scholarship since 1910 The names of applicants of other communities who were granted foreign scholarships are given in the statement referred to above

Messrs M S Narasinga Rao and Patti Basappa (Molakalmuru)

Q —**33.** With reference to the Jail population in the Mysore State will the Government be pleased to furnish the following information —(*a*) The total number of (1) males, (2) females, (3) vegetarians and (4) non-vegetarians, (5) particulars of diet in the case of vegetarians, (6) provision made for giving a bath to all prisoners

(*b*) Is there any provision for prisoners reading or hearing the reading of sacred books ?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

A —33 Information has been called for and will be furnished to the members on receipt

Mr S Virabhadrappa (Chitaldrug)

Q —**34** Will the Government be pleased to supply information in respect of the total number and the pay of the appointments made in the Revenue Department since the formation of the Recruitment Board —

1 According to districts and (2) communities

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT —

A —34 Information has been called for and will be furnished on receipt.

Mr B Sitaramiah (Hiriyur)

Q. - **35** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(1) Was any contribution received by Government in connection with the opening of a Boarding House for the benefit of the Veerasaiva students of the Hiriyur Taluk ?

(2) If so, what was the amount recovered and when ?

(3) Is it a fact that Rs 8,703 was contributed on 10th July 1920 in addition to the gift of a site valued at Rs 800 ?

(4) Have the Government agreed to sanction a grant-in-aid equal to that of the amount contributed ?

(5) If the Government have agreed to sanction the grant in-aid, what prevents them from according sanction thereto, and when will the grant be sanctioned ?

(6) Have the Association connected with the Boarding House presented any petitions to the Dewan Sahib and the Inspector-General of Education in the matter ?

(7) If so, have any replies been given, and if not, why are they pending and when will they be disposed of ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—35. (1) Yes.

(2) Rs. 8 703 on 18th September 1920 (as deposit).

(3) In a petition dated 10th July 1920 it was stated that in addition to the contribution of Rs. 8,703, a site had been purchased for the purpose of the Boarding House at a cost of Rs. 800.

(4) No specific promise of grant has been made. It is understood that with reference to an application of the Veerasaiva Vidyavardhaka Sangha for the construction of a building at Government cost, the Manager was informed by the Education Department that not more than one-half of the cost of the building could be sanctioned under the grant-in-aid rules.

(5) *Vide* reply to (4). Owing to financial stringency, the provision made for building grants was not adequate even to meet existing commitments. There was therefore no margin for considering fresh applications. It is not possible to say when a grant for the Hostel in question will be sanctioned. It will depend on the budget provision and the existing commitments still to be met.

(6) and (7) Three petitions addressed to the Inspector-General of Education are reported to have been replied to. A copy of one of them addressed to the Dewan recently is receiving attention.

Messrs. S. Virabhadrappa and Sati Halappa (Chitaldrug).

Q.—**36.** Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(1) What is the total amount raised towards the shares issued in connection with the C. C. Railway ?

(2) How many shares were taken up by the public ?

(3) Of the above, how many shares have been fully paid up ?

(4) How much amount has yet to be recovered and why has the same not yet been recovered ?

(5) Was any portion of the profit from the railway paid to the bond-holders, in addition to the interest in accordance with the assurance held out to them and if not done, why not ?

(6) Why should not the railway cess be utilised to push on railway extension during the current year ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, RAILWAYS.

A.—36. (1) Rs. 1,60,400.

(2) 3 000 shares of Rs. 1,00 each, 175 shares of Rs. 25 each and 143 of Rs. 6¼ each.

(3) 766 of Rs. 100 each, 102 of Rs. 25 each and 128 of Rs. 6¼ each.

(4) Rs. 2,25,318-12-0. As the District Board were unable to recover the balance from the subscribers, Government were pleased to treat, in their Order No. R. S. 498-503—Ry. F. 88-21-22, dated 12th August 1921, the Railway as a State Line.

(5) No profit was due nor paid to the bond-holders.

(6) Will be utilised when a project for extension is sanctioned.

Mr. Chennabasappa (Davangere Taluk).

Q.—**37.** Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) (1) What is the total number of applications for admission to the Medical College at Bangalore this year ?

(2) What examinations have the above applicants passed ?

(3) Of these, were any admitted to the College who had passed the First Year B.A. ?

(4) If none was admitted, what is the reason therefor ?

(*b*) (1) Who are the members of the Committee appointed to select students for admission to the College ?

(2) Under what rules is the selection of candidates made ?

(3) Is it a fact that in selecting candidates those of inferior qualification have been selected in preference to those having superior qualifications? Particulars of such candidates with the reason for selecting them may be given

(*c*) What are the names of candidates who have been awarded scholarships, to what community do they belong and what is the income of their parents or guardians?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

A—37 (*a*) (1) 120

(2) B Sc, B A, 1st Year B A course as well as certificate Examination and 2nd year B A course.

(3) No

(4) Because there were 44 graduates for 20 seats available An exception was however made in the case of a lady candidate who had passed the Madras Intermediate Examination and including this candidate, 21 in all were admitted

(*b*) (1) The Principal and the Professors of the Medical College, Bangalore

(2) Preference was shown to graduates who had passed any two of the following subjects of Part I of the 1st M B B S Examination, *viz.*, Physics, Inorganic Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, Botany and Zoology.

(3) No, except in the case of the lady candidate, referred to in reply to (4) who, being a Mysorean by birth and possessing the minimum qualifications required, was admitted with a view to encourage ladies joining the Medical profession

(*c*) In the beginning of July 1924, the following candidates were awarded scholarships—

1	P V Krishnamurthy B Sc	I class	Vaisya
2	Abdul Khalaq Meccai B A	III class	Mahomedan
3	D Sivaramiah, B A	III class	Devanga
4	Miss L M Sundaram	Intermediate	Christian
5	N Anandalwar B Sc	I class	Brahmin

As Mr P V Krishnamurthy failed to join the College, the scholarship was awarded to Mr N Puttarangaswamy, B Sc I class of Vokkaligara community But this student too left the College during the short session and the vacant scholarship was given to one Mr H K Krishnaswamy Iyengar, B A, II class, as he had done well in the Terminal Examination Definite information regarding the income of parents or guardians of the scholars is not available

Mr S Samasastry (Maddagiri)

Q—**38.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

What is the number of major operations performed, how many were successful and how many ended fatally during the five years up to the end of 1923-24 in the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore, and the Tuberculosis Hospital, Mysore, and what is the number of Mysoreans and Non-Mysoreans among the patients?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

A—38. A statement in regard to the major operations performed in the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore, for 5 years from 1919 20 under the several headings is appended

No operations were performed in the Sanatorium in Mysore. A statement showing the number of in and out-patients treated therein for 3 years from 1921-22, when it was started, is appended

Messrs S Soorappa, Bhimanna and Naranappa (Sira)

Q—**39.** Will the Government be pleased to state —

(*a*) What is the amount spent in survey operations in connection with the question of opening a railway line from Tumkur to Chitaldrug *via* Sira?

(*b*) Why is not the opening of the line undertaken as yet?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, RAILWAYS.

A.—39. (*a*) (1) Tumkur-Sira Railway Survey ... .. Rs. 4,443
(2) Sira-Chitaldrug Railway Survey 5 127

Total ... 9.570

(*b*) Because the construction of the line has not been sanctioned yet.

Messrs. D. S. Mallappa (Tiptur) **and G. Rajagopalachar** (Gubbi).

Q.—**40.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the number of permanent and temporary vacancies that occurred each year from the date of order on the Miller Committee Report till the end of 1924 in the several departments of the State and in the District and Taluk Offices and what are the respective salaries of the said vacancies?

(*b*) How many persons and of what castes were appointed in each year to fill up such vacancies.

(*c*) Out of the temporary appointments, how many were made permanent?

(*d*) In the appointments so made permanent, how many persons and of what castes are represented.

(*e*) What is the number of officers that were entertained by direct recruitment and also by promotion for the posts of Amildars, Assistant Commissioners of all grades, Munsiffs, Magistrates and Probationers in each year till the end of 1924 from the date of order on the Miller Committee Report? How many and of what communities are represented in each?

(*f*) What is the number of Gazetted Officers so appointed or promoted during the above period and of what communities and how many persons in each community have been provided with such appointments? What are the several designations of the appointments given to such persons?

(*g*) How many Sheikdar's appointments were filled up by direct recruitment and also by promotion during the time of every Deputy Commissioner in the above period?

What communities and how many in each have a share in such appointments?

(*h*) During the above period, how many officials were transferred each year from one department to another on the same pay and on an increased pay?

How many were so transferred and from what departments to what other department?

What are the pay and designations of such officials in the departments they left and in those to which they were taken?

What are the communities to which they belong and how are their vacancies filled up in the departments concerned? How many by direct recruitment and how many by promotions? What are the communities to which the latter belong and what are the communities to which the newly appointed officials belong?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

A.—40. The compilation of the required information, even if practicable, entails an enormous amount of labour which the Government do not consider necessary to undertake.

Mr. G. K. Narayana Rao (Gudibanda Sub-Taluk).

Q.—**41.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) When is it that the dam across the Kusavati in Gudibanda Sub-Taluk breached?

(2) What area of the wet lands has been lying fallow on this account and what is the extent of loss to Government?

(3) Has an estimate for restoration of this dam been prepared? if so, when?

(4) Why was not the estimate sanctioned?

(5) Is it not profitable to Government to restore the dam?

(6) If so, when is the work likely to be taken up?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

A—41. (1) There are 7 or 8 dams (*i.e*, earthen vaddus) under the Gudibanda-Byrasagara Tank across the Kushavathi. It is not known which of these vaddus is referred to. No information about the breach of any of these is available Probably the member refers to the state of disrepair of channels under the Deepathi Vaddu. The answers given refer to this vaddu.

(2) No information is available on this point. It has been called for and will be furnished.

(3) An estimate amounting to Rs. 16,612 was prepared by the Executive Engineer in December 1922 to restore the channels under the Deepathi Vaddu, the most important of the earthen dams under the Byrasagara Tank.

(4) In connection with the estimate referred to, the raiyats did not agree to pay any contribution and the present unsatisfactory condition of the irrigation system below this vaddu is considered to be entirely due to the neglect of maintenance on the part of the raiyats. At the same time, as it is considered necessary to carry out repair works to prevent further deterioration, the question is engaging the attention of the Public Works Department and the Revenue Department

(5) The work is not of a remunerative character so far as Government is concerned as it is not likely to bring additional revenue

(6) A report will be called for from the Chief Engineer and orders issued in the matter in due course.

Mr S Hampiah (Sidlaghatta Taluk)

Q.—**42.** Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(1) If it has come to the notice of Government that there is no ready sale in the market for the silk manufactured in the country?

(2) Will Government kindly state what arrangements, if any, are made in respect of obtaining prices for our silk?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

A.—42. (1) Government understand that there is no ready sale in the market for silk; this feature is, however, not peculiar to Mysore and is due to world-wide causes.

(2) Government have been attempting to find a market for the filature silk and will be glad to receive suggestions from the member. No measures are under contemplation by Government for marketing country silk

Messrs. B. Narayanareddi and Chikkanarasareddi (Bagepalli Taluk).

Q.—**43.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) The income and expenditure of the Kolar District Board Railway for 1923-24?

(2) How were they classified?

(3) What portion, if any, of the capital outlay has been realised so far from the railway earnings?

(4) Is it a profitable concern to the Board?

(5) Can Government permit the assumption of the management of this railway by the State and return the capital to the Board?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

A.—43. 1. Income Rs. 2,53,102.
Expenditure Rs. 2,24,900.
2. *Vide* statement attached.
3. No.
4. Not yet.
5. No.

Mr. G. Ramappa (Srinivaspur Taluk).

Q.—**44.** (*a*) Are the Government aware that in the recent revision settlement, the dry lands on which the grafted mango trees are grown, are classed as garden lands and Bagayet assessment is levied on them?

(*b*) Are the Government also aware that it is not fair and just to class them as garden lands, as these trees are grown without any permanent source of water and also they are grown at an enormous cost?

(*c*) Will the Government be pleased to issue necessary instructions to the Survey Department to classify them as dry and not as garden lands?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

A.—44. (*a*) They are classed as gardens in the registers of the Survey Department: but are assessed at rates not higher than the maximum rates levied on dry lands in the taluk.

(*b*) and (*c*) In view of the answer to clause (*a*), these questions do not arise.

Mr. M. Narasinga Rao (Kolar Gold Fields).

Q.—**45.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) Whether the estimate for the repairs of the major tank of Gattu Madamangala village, Dasarahosahalli hobli, Bowringpet Taluk has been submitted to Government for sanction?

(2) Whether necessary allotment of funds has been proposed to be made for its repairs in the Budget Estimates for the year 1925-26?

(3) If the estimate has not been submitted to Government for sanction, will the Government be pleased to state the reason for the delay and by whom the delay is caused and when it is likely to be sanctioned?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

A.—45. (1) No estimate has been prepared for the repairs of the tank. If the interpellation relates to the restoration of the tank, the estimate amounting to Rs. 4,535 has been sanctioned for the work in March 1918.

(2) and (3) For the restoration estimate, it has not been found possible to make any provision so far, being a new work. The department on account of the limited grants at their disposal are attending to only completing half finished works at present. The work will be taken up as soon as possible.

Mr. J. Naranappa (Sidlaghatta Municipality).

Q.—**46.** Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What was the total number of income-tax notices issued by Income-tax Officers in the year 1923-24 throughout the State? In how many cases, in respect whereof the notices were so served, the tax was imposed?

(*b*) Has it come to the notice of Government that the issue of notices causes hardship to many persons, although they may not be liable to income-tax? Will Government find out some remedy?

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

A.—46. (*a*) The member is referred to para 24 of the Income Tax Administration Report for 1923-24 which furnishes the information required.

(*b*) The hardship referred to by the member is one common to all fiscal enactments. Cases of unnecessary harassment, if any, may always be brought to the notice of the supervising authorities.

APPENDIX I

(INTERPELLATION No 5)

Statement showing the names and designation of Officers and Officials drawing a salary of Rs 100 and above as on 1st May 1925

No	Names	Designation	Amount
			Rs
1	Mr C Ranganatha Rao Sahib	Government Director .	1,400
2	, M S Ramachandran	Personal Assistant to Chairman	150+100
3	,, M R Krishnappa	Chief Clerk	85+30
4	M Venkatanarayanappa .	Sales Manager and Chief Accountant	700
5	, C K Rao Sahib ..	Sales Officer .	200+150
6	A V Krishna Murti	Sales Assistant .	225
7	,, V Dattatrayan	Sales Canvasser	200
8	, K Ramaswami	, ..	125
9	, H V Krishna Murti	Chief Clerk	125
10	A Devaraja Mudaliyar	Transhipping Clerk	100
11	L Ramakrishna Rao	Assistant Surgeon	190+100
12	, S Raghavachar	Accountant	200+100
13	, Y Narasimhiah	Auditor .	125+50
14	,, M R Srinivasa Rao ..	Cashier	100
15	Tadas Narayana Rao	Chief Clerk (Compilation of Accounts)	100
16	, H R Srinivasa Iyengar ...	Chief Clerk (Compilation of Store Accounts)	100
17	, H Srinivasa Sastry	Stock Verifier ..	100
18	,, M Srinivasa Rao ..	Store keeper	150
19	M G Rangaiya	General Superintendent	1,000
20	C Sitaramiah	Deputy General Superintendent	650+100
21	,, W E Clifford	Chief Chemist	3,000
22	, S G Sastry	, ,	550+200
23	, M K Narasimhan ...	Chemist	250
24	D K Ghosh ...	,,	250
25	, M P Sarathy	,	125
26	, B Shivappa	,,	125
27	, M K Partha Sarathy ...	,,	100
28	, S Gopalakrishnan ...	Draftsman	175
29	,, B Vijendra Rao ...	Acting Electrical Superintendent	200+60
30	, P A Gordon ...	Operator	140
31	, M. V Seethappa	,,	120
32	, A Subba Rao ...	,,	120
33	, T. Tiruvadi Pillay	,,	120
34	, Linganna M	Lineman	110
35	,, B V Hanumantha Rao ..	Operator	110
36	,, G Veerappa	,,	110
37	, G Lakshminarasimha Rao	,,	110
38	, Abdul Razak	Supervisor (Power House)	120
39	, V Ganesha Iyer ...	Master Mechanic	600
40	,, B S Krishna Murthy	Boiler Foreman	100
41	,, H V Subba Rao	Machine Shop Foreman	100
42	,, B K Channappa	General Gang Foreman	100
43	Dr S S Iyer	General Foreman (Retorts)	400
44	Mr K. Umanatha Rao	Foreman	250
45	,, P R Balakrishnan	General Foreman (Chemical House)	300
46	,, C A Silva	Foreman	125
47	,, Olaf Sahlin	Superintendent (Furnace)	1,500
48	,, B Visvanath	Foreman	300
49	, D. V Krishna Rao	,,	100+50
50	,, B A Narayana Murthy	,	100+50
51	,, K S Sitaraman .	Civil Engineer	250
52	,, V S Ekambaram	Foreman (Tar Plant)	175
53	,, G K Setlur ...	Assistant Engineer	100
54	,, B Subba Rao	Foreman (Retorts Construction)	100
		Total	15,460+990

APPENDIX II.

(INTERPELLATION No 6)

Statement of those that passed the B A. Degree Examination in the several years.

Year	Vokkaligars	Lingayets	Mahomedans
1918	1	..	1
1919	..	..	4
1920	..	3	4
1921	1	6	8
1922	1	6	5
1923	3	11	2
1924	2	10	11
Total ..	8	36	35

APPENDIX III.

(INTERPELLATION No. 9.)

Statement showing the total number of each community comprising the non-gazetted ranks, as on 31st December 1924.

1	Brahmins	9,741
2	Bedars	73
3	Gollars	41
4	Kshatriyas	226
5	Kurbas	150
6	Lingayets	728
7	Mudaliars	337
8	Naidus	175
9	Vaisyas	83
10	T Banajigas	142
11	Vokkaligars	441
12.	Other Caste Hindus	1,098
13	Jains	48
14.	Mahomedans	1,885
15	Christians	498
16	Other communities (Parsis, Jews, Buddhists, etc) ..	62
17	Panchamas	263
	Total ...	15,991

APPENDIX IV.

(INTERPELLATION No. 13.)

Scale fixing the rate of bonus payable for sandal trees removed.

Class	Girth of tree at 4 ft above ground level	Bonus payable	
		First ten years	Afterwards
	Inches	Rs a p	Rs a p
I	Below 12	0 8 0	0 8 0
II	12 to 21 ..	1 8 0	1 8 0
III	21 to 30 ..	3 8 0	4 8 0
IV	30 to 36 .	4 0 0	7 8 0
V	Above 36 .	5 0 0	10 0 0

APPENDIX V

(INTERPELLATION No 30)

NATURE AND EXTENT OF DAMAGES CAUSED BY THE FLOODS OF 1924

Mysore District —In the 8 Municipal towns that were affected, 758 houses were wholly destroyed and 253 houses were damaged The total value of the damages is estimated at Rs 96,039 In addition to this, grains and other property valued Rs 6,266 were lost

In the rural areas, 94 villages were affected, 2,377 houses collapsed and 437 houses were partially damaged The value of the damages to houses is estimated at Rs 1,63 375 In addition to this, grains and other property valued Rs 14,554 were lost

Lands in 236 villagee were damaged An extent of 349 acres, the bulk of it being wet-land in T -Narsipur, was rendered permanently unfit for cultivation The loss on this account amounts to Rs 1,35,410 Four thousand five hundred and seventy one acres have been rendered temporarily unfit for cultivation owing to deposits of sand and silt It is estimated that a sum of Rs 1,91,258 will be required to make the lands fit for cultivation Standing crops and nurseries on an extent of 13,896 acres have been damaged and the loss is estimated at Rs 1,71,458

The total loss on account of house property is Rs 2,80,034 and the loss on account of lands is 4,98,126, making a total of Rs 7,78 160

Kadur District — One hundred and forty-four villages were affected Thirty-eight houses collapsed or were damaged The value of the damages to houses is estimated at Rs 858. In addition to this, grains and other property valued Rs 1 049 were lost

As regards damages to lands, the damages in the Mudgere Taluk are estimated at Rs 3,200 and in Koppa Taluk at Rs 50,000 The damages in other taluks are slight

Hassan District —Thirty two houses were damaged, or collapsed The value of the damages to houses is estimated at Rs 2,795 In addition to this, an extent of 2,222 acres and 34 guntas of lands was submerged The loss on this account amounts to Rs 33,000

Chitaldrug District —The number of houses damaged or collapsed was 570 The value of the damages to houses including the value of property lost is estimated at Rs 53,100

Shimoga District —The number of houses damaged or collapsed was 1,935 The value of the damages to houses is estimated at Rs 2,25,000 No information is available as regards the extent of lands submerged and the loss sustained on account of these lands

APPENDIX VI.

(INTERPELLATION No. 32.)

Statement of persons who were granted foreign scholarships from the years 1910 to 1924.

Year	No. of scholarships granted	Name	Period of sanction	Community to which the candidate belongs
1	2	3	4	5
1910-11...	4	Mr. T. P. Kailasam...	Two years	Brahmin
		„ H. S. Narayana Rao	Three years	Do
		„ S. H. Lakshminarasappa	Two years	Do
		„ B. Shamanna Iyengar	One year	Do
1912-13...	1	„ D. S. Puttanna	Till completion of his course in Genito-Urinary Surgery and Advanced Pathology.	Devanga
1913-14...	3	„ S. Garalapuri Sastry	Three years	Brahmin
		„ B. Narasimha Iyengar	Six years	Do
		„ K. H. Ramayya	Three years	Vokkaliga
1914-15...	5	„ M. G. Srinivasa Rao	Two years	Brahmin
		„ B. G. Appadorai Mudaliar.. ...	Five years	Mudaliar
		„ B. K Srinivasa Iyengar	Three years	Brahmin
		„ R. Sitharama Rao	Three years and six months	Do
		Dr. M. A. Sampathkumaran	Three years	Do
1916-17...	2	Mr. Raja Rao, V. Patankar	Two years	Do
		„ P. Subba Rao	Two years	Do
1917-18...	1	„ Omeo Iyengar	Three years and six months	Do
1918-19...	8	„ V. V. Montiro	Two years and ten months	Christian
		„ B. K. Rama Prasad	Two years	Brahmin
		„ M. K. Venkata Rao	Three years	Do
		„ V. L. D'Souza	Three years and three months	Christian
		„ V. Ganesha Iyer	Two years	Brahmin
		„ B. Narayana Das	Do	Do
		„ C. V. Balappa ..	Do	Telugu Banajiga
		„ V. Dattatreyan	Do	Brahmin
1920-21...	8	Sri Kanakalakshamma	Two years and nine months	Do
		Miss. Lazarus	One year and six months	Christian
		Mr. C. Krishnaswamy Rao Saheb ..	Two years	Brahmin
		„ S. Subrahmanya Iyer	Three years*	Brahmin *(including one year for which a D. D. Scholarship was sanctioned in 1922-23.)

APPENDIX VI—*concld.*

Year	No. of scholarship granted	Name	Period of sanction	Community to which the candidate belongs
		Mr. Sultan Mohiyuddin	Two years	Mahomedan
		,, G. N. Annaiya	Do	Banajiga
		,, A. V. Raghavachar	Do	Brahmin
		,, A. Subba Rao	Five years*	Do *(Including two years for which a free D. D. Scholarship was sanctioned during 1924-25]
1921-22	3	,, C. Krishnaswamy Rao	Three years	Brahmin
		,, V. Loganatha Mudaliar	Do	Mudaliar
		Miss Mary Chandy	Two years	Christian
1922-23	3	Mr. T. Seshachalam	Four years and ten months	Mudaliar
		,, T. Sivasankariah	Two years and nine months	Telugu Banajiga
		,, P. M. Pavanjee	One year	Brahmin
1923-24	3	,, B. Sanjeeva Rao	Two years	Do
		,, Cheluve Urs	Do	Ursu
		,, M. H. Krishna Iyengar	One year	Brahmin
1924-25	2	,, B. G. Sambasivan	Two years	Mudaliar
		,, B. R. Garudachar	Do	Brahmin

APPENDIX VII.

(INTERPELLATION No 38.)

Statement showing the number of Major Operations performed in the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore, during the five years up to the end of 1923-24

Year	Total number of operations performed	Number successful	Number of cases ended fatally	Number of Mysoreans and Non Mysoreans among the patients
1919-20	701	636	65	572 Mysoreans 64 Non Mysoreans
1920 21 ...	736	684	52	601 Mysoreans 83 Non-Mysoreans.
1921-22	858	818	40	674 Mysoreans 144 Non-Mysoreans
1922-23 . . .	890	846	44	698 Mysoreans 148 Non Mysoreans
1923-24	1,160	1,112	48	940 Mysoreans 172 Non-Mysoreans

APPENDIX VIII.

(INTERPELLATION No. 38.)

Statement showing the number of patients treated in the Princess Krishnajammanni Sanatorium, Mysore, from the date of its opening, *i.e.*, November 1921.

Year	In-patients						
	Men	Women	Boys	Girls	Total treated	Mysoreans	Non-Mysoreans
1921-22 ..	43	25		4	72	67	5
1922-23 ..	46	37	1		84	74	10
1923-24 ..	51	56	1	1	109	104	5

Year	Out patients						
	Men	Women	Boys	Girls	Total treated	Mysoreans	Non Mysoreans
1921-22 ..	56	46		5	107	107	...
1922-23 ..	329	165	50	28	572	572	. .
1923-24 ..	267	243	85	41	636	636	

N.B —The institution was opened on the 18th November 1921

APPENDIX IX.

(INTERPELLATION No. 43.)

Classification of the Revenue and working expenses under Main Heads

Head of account	Income	Head of account	Expenditure
	Rs		Rs
Coaching	1,67,286	Maintenance of Way Works and stations	44,043
Goods	83,609	Locomotive Expenses ..	85,315
Electric Telegraph ..	714	Carriage and Wagon Expenses	20,482
Sundries	1,493	Traffic Expenses	35,111
		General charges	25,456
		Special and Miscellaneous expenditure	14,493
Total ..	2,53,102	Total ..	2,24,900

WD 2394—GPB—300—2 6-25

G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902—13
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 643-12.

30 pages.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Papers Filed.

Month May 1913

SUBJECT.

Repairs to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Chuktirumpathi in the Malur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G Surappa
Asst